

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,520	10/07/2005	Vincent Granier	0076144-000005	2571
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 11/03/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAM	EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/552,520	GRANIER ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Patrick D. Niland	1796			

	Patrick D. Niland	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To a void abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTH'S from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examines holds: If box if scheeked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(i		. FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension is under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of			
The Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: The proposed amendment after final reject	ion proposes to change the claime	d subject matter to an	independent			
and distinct invention from that examined. This wo Claims for Different Invention Added After not from the Added After and Added After office search and consideration therefore. Further, prior the final rejection and which was therefore discard requires further search and consideration to find the 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a).	ee Action and further raises new issations were not present in the exan art which was not deemed to be the ed may now be the best prior art fo is art again and consider it again for	sues and requires furth nined claims and now e best prior art relative or the newly proposed or the newly proposed	her consideration require further e to that cited in claims. It claims. (See			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: 10-21.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the reasons stated in the final rejection of 6/25/09. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
	/Patrick D Niland/
	Primary Examiner
	Art Unit: 1796

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

OL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091027